**Exploring the Effects of Preschool Teachers' Epistemological Beliefs on Content-Based Pedagogical Conceptualizations and PCK Integrations towards Science Teaching**

**Abstract**

This exploratory case study aims to determine how pre-school teachers' epistemological beliefs influence their pedagogical conceptualizations of science teaching. The research was conducted with a total of 10 teachers that were selected among 61 pre-school teachers by their epistemological beliefs. The participants were divided into two sub-groups consisting of five persons each to represent the low and high epistemological profiles, based on their scores obtained from the Epistemological Belief Scale Towards Learning (EBSTL). A written form (Content Representation Task [CRT]) reflecting the content representations (CoRes) methodology was applied to determine these participants' pedagogical conceptualizations of early childhood science teaching and to reveal their PCK integration. The qualitative data collected in this way were analyzed through the *constant comparative method, inductive content analysis, in-depth explicit PCK analysis, enumerative approach, and PCK mapping*. The analysis results showed that pre-school teachers in the firm epistemological beliefs referred more to the science literacy vision and tended to use inquiry-based teaching approaches, and they addressed science teaching in a more holistic structure. Finally, both groups of teachers were insufficient to integrate assessment and curriculum components into early childhood science teaching. The results showed that epistemological beliefs directed the early childhood science teaching practices based on science literacy vision.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Children have an innate orientation towards learning, gaining experience, and discovering (Gopnik, 2012). This orientation not only supports the beginning of children's learning desires at an early age but also allows them to continue throughout life (Worth, 2010). Expanding educational researches and curricula in the context of early childhood science education have highlighted that the early years are of critical importance in science literacy education, which begins in early childhood, and continues and deepens throughout life (e.g., Bybee, 2013; National Research Council, [NRC] 2012). Given that children have the potential to wonder, research, and question the environment in which they live, effective science experiences at an early age can encourage them to understand scientific concepts and develop scientific thinking skills (Trundle & Saçkes, 2015). Moreover, the children experiencing the science practices at an early age will be more likely to have a positive attitude towards science (Broström, 2015). Transformation of these curiosities and desires of children into a scientific thought is possible with qualified early science teaching (Nayfeld et al., 2011). To achieve this, pre-school teachers' pedagogical competencies and particularly their awareness of the child's cognition are significant (Gerde et al., 2018). In terms of early childhood science teaching, the role and impact of the teacher take on a more critical meaning. Teachers' knowledge levels and pedagogical competencies in science arsome of the major predictors of supporting children's sense of wonder for science (Barenthien et al., 2020). What is mainly expected from pre-school teachers is that they perform science teaching based on inquiry-based activities involving game-based and child-centered pedagogical strategies (Larimore, 2020). It is the task of teachers to encourage children to ask questions by means of enhanced science activities allowing children to be engaged in science and conduct research (Toyama, 2016).The main problem here is that teachers prefer one-way communication in most activities and tend to teach concepts directly (Hamel et al., 2021). This shows that pre-school teachers cannot integrate content and pedagogy well and adopt a teacher-centered approach in science teaching. Current research findings have revealed that pre-school teachers spare less time to science teaching than other teaching activities and tend to push them into the background (e.g., Piasta et al., 2014; Sundberg & Ottander 2013).This is thought to be due to teachers' lack of subject matter knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, and personal, educational trajectories or teaching experience (Nilsson, 2015; Oppermann et al., 2019). Although it is put forward by the early science childhood education literature that *epistemological and pedagogical orientations and pedagogical content knowledge (PCK)* (Andersson & Gullberg, 2014; Wu et al., 2021) are interrelated, it has been quite limited studies in discovering how epistemological beliefs influence teachers' PCKs.

For this reason, this study revealed the pre-school teachers' pedagogical conceptualizations of science teaching based on their epistemological profiles. Consequently, study aims to determine how pre-school teachers' epistemological beliefs influence their pedagogical conceptualizations of science teaching. The main hypothesis within this aim is that pre-school teachers with firm epistemological beliefs will have pedagogical conceptualizations that are more child-centered and more suitable for science literacy vision.

**THEORETICAL BACKGROUND**

**The Role of Teachers in Early Science Education**

Many educational standards and curricula have the same view that science literacy is a target definitely required to be followed by all individuals from early childhood to 12th grade-students (MoNE, 2013; New Generation Science Standards, [NGSS] 2013; NRC, 2012). Accordingly, science literacy represents children's ability to relate science and its processes to everyday contexts (Smolkin & Donovan, 2015). This target can be achieved only when children meet science and its exploratory practices early (Bauer & Booth, 2019; Broström, 2015). The qualified understanding of science and scientific reasoning skills that are acquired in early childhood serve as an important pioneer for the children's academic achievements in their future educational lives (Morgan et al., 2016; Saçkes et al., 2010). The fact that especially early childhood science teaching is enriched with game-based, inquiry-based, and child-centered activities will help children achieve the science literacy vision. Thanks to the early childhood science teaching performed in this way, children will have a qualified understanding of science to establish the conceptual basis to be used in the future academic period (Bell & Clair, 2015). However, it is not sufficient just to provide appropriate curriculum materials for such science teaching. Qualified science teaching can be achieved with pre-school teachers who combine the content and pedagogy with the appropriate curriculum materials and have high pedagogical content knowledge (Larimore, 2020; Neuman & Danielson, 2021). Therefore, while planning and performing the science teaching, pre-school teachers must have many resources such as PCK, subject matter knowledge, and pedagogical orientations and consider these resources (Gropen et al., 2017; Oppermann et al., 2019). In this way, teachers will not only structure the science teaching activities based on direct knowledge transfer or concept teaching, but they will also ensure children's participation in informal activities such as yogurt making, nutrition and hence support their acquisition of scientific process skills (Charlesworth & Lind, 2010). This is possible only when pre-school teachers structure the science teaching activities to be integrated with the game-based, inquiry-based and child-centered strategies that will support children's cognitive action.

**Epistemological Beliefs and Pedagogical Content Knowledge in Early Science Teaching**

The fundamental aim of early childhood science teaching is to help children have a qualified understanding of science. To achieve this, it is important that pre-school teachers are aware of how children internalize and form scientific knowledge. The existing research has the same view that there is a deep connection between beliefs and practices, especially in pre-school educational environments (e.g., Merino et al., 2014; Tanase & Wang, 2010). Characterized as the series of fundamental beliefs about knowledge and knowing, the epistemological beliefs can also be conceptualized as the system of more or less independent and multi-dimensional beliefs that can be developed asynchronously (Chen, 2012). Tsai et al. (2011) suggest that epistemological beliefs involve four dimensions, including certainty of knowledge, simplicity of knowledge, source of knowledge, and justification of knowledge.The first two dimensions refer to the nature of knowledge, while the other two are rather related to the nature of knowing (Liang & Tsai, 2010).

Epistemological beliefs are generalizable in a certain domain and domain-specific (Ho & Liang, 2015). Accordingly, it can be suggested that teachers' epistemological beliefs specific to any domain positively or negatively impact the teaching practices (Mansour, 2013). These beliefs of teachers in their teaching and pedagogical capacity are also related to their teaching knowledge and experience (Brown, 2011). Teachers' epistemological beliefs may act as an amplifier, filter, or guide when planning and implementing instruction (Gess-Newsome, 2015). Because their professional knowledge are complex and dynamic, they tend to be influenced by their epistemological beliefs focusing on the nature of knowledge and knowing (Hand et al., 2018). Research suggested that teachers with firm epistemological beliefs have a richer repertoire of teaching strategies, focus more on students' conceptual changes, and can better identify students' conceptual difficulties (Hashweh, 1996; Tanase & Wang, 2010).

On the other hand, claiming that epistemological beliefs are related to teaching actions, Şengül et al., (2020) have determined that teachers with firm epistemological beliefs focus on cooperative teaching activities by focusing on multiple perspectives in their classrooms. Considering in terms of early childhood education, epistemological beliefs become much more important. Brownlee (2001) puts forward that the relativity of early childhood teachers' beliefs is associated with the depth and meta-cognitive reflections of the teacher. The pre-school teachers believing that knowledge is uncertain may be more likely to integrate the teaching activities with other contexts. In addition, it can be thought that these teachers tend to follow a roadmap based on power-sharing in the classroom (Brownlee et al., 2011).

As mentioned, teachers use both their teaching knowledge and beliefs to what extent they can realize it while organizing their classroom activities to achieve certain teaching targets (Pajares, 1992). When teachers believe that they can apply their teaching knowledge effectively, they will be more likely to realize it in real classrooms (Park & Chen, 2012). Accordingly, their pedagogical conceptualization related to any subject makes the bridge between their teaching beliefs and teaching knowledge more visible (Alexander, 2004). In other words, it can be thought that teachers reflect insights about their PCK through their pedagogical conceptualizations. Here, it can be thought that pedagogical conceptualizations concern belief, efficacy, and knowledge related to teaching and teachers' actions in the classroom (Hashweh, 2013; Prachagool et al., 2016).Therefore, teachers' pedagogical conceptualizations, including all of their knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes, affect their ability to construct knowledge effectively with children and their pedagogical decisions (Hedges & Cullen, 2005).

As can be seen, the beliefs about learning and teaching are not sufficient by themselves in real classrooms (Appleton, 2006). In addition, teachers also need to bring their own teaching knowledge into action. Teacher knowledge is one of the most significant factors that influence teachers' behaviors in the classroom and the success of their students (Gess-Newsome, 2015). But teacher knowledge does not consist of only subject matter knowledge (Shulman, 1986). Grossman (1990) collected the types of knowledge a teacher should have for effective teaching under four groups: *subject matter knowledge, general pedagogical knowledge, PCK, and knowledge of context*. According to many researchers on teacher education, *pedagogical content knowledge*, which is the most important teacher knowledge domain representing qualified teaching, is nourished by teachers' other content knowledge (e.g., Magnusson et al., 1999; Park & Chen, 2012).

On the other hand, especially when considered in terms of early childhood education context, it has been claimed that the teacher's love for his/her profession and students, his sacrifices, his psychological characteristics, being suitable for practicing the teaching profession, serve as the cement in acquiring PCK components (Duran et al., 2021). According to Shulman's (1986) original definition, PCK refers to the most useful presentations, the strongest analogies, and the best examples and explanations used for making a particular topic comprehensible by others. Therefore, PCK includes all teacher and subject matter-specific knowledge and skills that develop via teaching experiences over time (Kind, 2009). Magnusson et al. (1999) put forward that the subject matter knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, and knowledge of context, included in Grossman's (1990) model of teacher knowledge, have a strong mutual influence on PCK, and that PCK consists of five components.

These components are detailed below:

* *Orientations to teaching science (OTS):* This component represents teachers' beliefs about goals and objectives for teaching science at different grade levels. In addition, it acts as an important amplifier and filter that mediates teachers to reflect their subject-specific professional knowledge into classroom practice (Gess-Newsome, 2015).
* *Knowledge about 'students' understanding (KSU):* This component represents teachers 'conceptualization of their students' misconceptions, learning difficulties, motivations, and prior knowledge about a particular topic. KSU shows that the teachers care about developing their students' scientific knowledge.
* *Knowledge about science curriculum (KSC):* This component expresses teachers' knowledge about the curriculum available to teach a particular subject (Grossman, 1990). KSC enables the teacher to establish more coherent relationships between the subject context and general and specific objectives.
* *Knowledge about instructional strategies (KISR):* This component represents teachers' discipline-specific strategies and subject-specific (activities and representations) strategies during teaching. Accordingly, teachers need to integrate general strategies and techniques into the subject area they teach.
* *Knowledge about assessment of science learning (KAs):* This component includes the dimensions that are important for evaluation and the teacher's knowledge about the methods to be used during evaluation. For this, teachers need to know well what and how to evaluate.

PCK is not only subject-specific but also consists of a dynamic structure that sees knowledge as a part of the action (Gess-Newsome et al., 2019). On the other hand, it depends not only on which components are required for a subject but also on how and to what extent these components interact with each other (Abell, 2008). The more relationships a teacher has between PCK components, the stronger the interaction between related components.Therefore, the level of interactions between these five PCK components reflects qualified teaching. In other words, the balanced and consistent interaction of all components with each other is an indicator of quality teaching (Park, 2019). Taking this significance into account, Park and Oliver (2008) proposed a pentagon model to show the potential progress of any of these five components. According to the researchers, the knowledge interactions before and after teaching determine the structure of PCK.

As in many areas, PCK is important in early childhood science teaching, but it is not sufficient alone. Early childhood educators have argued that curriculum and learning areas should be integrated through child-centered epistemologies (Gunn et al., 2021; Karabon, 2021). But the immediate and unwritten nature of learning in early childhood education has complicated this further. To overcome this, early childhood science teachers should internalize and integrate content and pedagogy well (Barenthien & Dunekacke, 2021; Graue et al., 2015). Barenthien et al. (2020) suggest that PCK for early childhood science teaching is a product of teachers' content-based conceptualizations and pedagogical strategies. For this reason, pre-school teachers need to integrate content, pedagogy, and teaching contexts with appropriate curriculum materials to improve their science-specific PCKs.

**The Context of This Study**

The fundamental nature of this study is to reveal how epistemological beliefs about learning and teaching influence the pedagogical conceptualizations of early childhood science teaching. As stated before, pre-school teachers with firm epistemological beliefs and PCKs are needed for qualified early childhood science teaching (e.g., Gropen et al., 2017; Neuman & Danielson, 2021). Based on this perspective, it is considered important to describe how pre-school teachers integrate their current epistemological orientations into their science teaching activities for qualified early childhood education.

Early childhood education is not compulsory in Turkey and has covered children's education aged 3-6. The latest version of the National Early Childhood Program was developed in 2013 to improve the quality of education for young children at the early childhood level. The Turkish pre-school curriculum allows pre-school teachers to do creative and original activities for young children. In addition, it focuses on five developmental areas with a holistic approach. These areas are social and emotional, motor, cognitive, and language development areas and self-care skills (MoNE, 2013). The main purpose of the program, in which learning by discovery is handled as a priority, is not to teach the subject, but to bring learning outcomes to children with the help of that subject.

For this reason, themes or topics are a means, not an end. According to Saçkes (2014), Turkish pre-school teachers' beliefs about early science teaching align with the Developmentally Appropriate Practices of the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). Therefore, pre-school teachers are expected to use child-centered and play-based pedagogical strategies when planning and implementing early science teaching (Larimore, 2020). In this way, pre-school teachers need to ask children qualified questions and help them acquire scientific process skills such as observation and prediction (MoNE, 2013). Unfortunately, Turkish pre-school teachers have allocated very little time to science activities in their classrooms, although they claim they are competent in early science teaching (Erden & Sönmez, 2011; Özbey & Alisinanoğlu, 2008, Sağlam & Aral, 2015). It can be thought that this is due to their low level of subject matter knowledge and pedagogical content knowledge (Aydın & Güney, 2017; Saçkes et al., 2012).

There are studies, in the literature that have been conducted in the context of early science education and with the pre-school teachers and teacher candidates. The main topics focused on by these studies generally include *self-efficacy* (Opperman et al., 2019)*, beliefs and attitudes towards science* (Merino et al., 2014), *PCK, and pedagogical competencies* (Gropen et al., 2017; Neuman & Danielson, 2021), *views on curriculum* and *strategies* (Arias et al., 2016; Pierro, 2019), and *science teaching experiences* (Thulin & Redfors, 2017). According to the agreed view in the studies, the pre-school teachers and teacher candidates have positive attitudes and beliefs about science teaching. However, pre-school teachers are inadequate and in a dilemma in integrating mentioned pedagogical tools into science teaching.On the other hand, there are also studies in the literature in which epistemological beliefs are evaluated in the context of pre-school teacher education. These studies have concluded that professional identity differentiates personal epistemologies (e.g., Brownlee et al., 2008; Tanase & Wang, 2010).

As can be seen, science teaching and epistemological beliefs are not new contexts for the early childhood education literature. Theoretical and empirical evidence has shown that science teaching, and epistemological beliefs are issues to consider for early childhood education. It has also been suggested that these two contexts are interrelated (e.g., Arias et al., 2016; Brownlee et al., 2011; Larimore, 2020). Unfortunately, it has not been studied much how these two contexts affect each other in a pedagogical sense in the literature of pre-school teachers and early science education. Existing studies have been carried out from a quantitative point of view in general (Uğraş & Çil, 2016; Wu et al., 2021).

On the other hand, many researchers have tried to understand how the individual PCK components of especially science and elementary teachers develop and how this development can be supported (Author, 2021a; Chan & Hume, 2019). However, limited studies have investigated the interrelationships between components in understanding the construction of PCK in the early science education research literature (Author, 2021b; Author & Nacar, 2021; Gropen et al., 2017). Karabon (2021) suggested that the connection between pre-school teachers' epistemological and practical knowledge affects their pedagogical decisions and conceptualizations. For this reason, it can be thought that all decisions about teaching are the product of professional epistemologies. This has shown that the complex relationships between early science teaching and epistemological beliefs are a phenomenon that needs to be discussed through the teacher's PCK and from a qualitative perspective (Karabon, 2021; Wu et al., 2021). Therefore, the answer to the following two research questions was sought in this study:

1. How do the epistemological beliefs of pre-school teachers affect their content-based pedagogical conceptualization of science teaching?
2. How do the epistemological beliefs of pre-school teachers affect the integration between PCK components for science teaching?

**METHOD**

This study is an exploratory case study. With this case study approach, any phenomenon and the grounds leading to this phenomenon are investigated (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). The reflection of teachers' epistemological beliefs on their pedagogical conceptualization of early childhood science teaching is the case discussed in this study. Even more specifically, it has been tried to reveal new knowledge emerging through the epistemological beliefs of pre-school teachers who have truly experienced science teaching (Gerring, 2004).

According to Thomas (2015), the focus of the case study is to try to describe an event as it is. It is *triangulation* that allows a more detailed description of these cases (Denzin, 2012). Triangulation, which serves as a bridge between quantitative and qualitative epistemologies in mixed-method research, helps to consider the existing phenomenon from a more holistic perspective (Flick, 2018). In this study, the data collected from multiple data sources (epistemological belief scale, interviews) was diversified with different qualitative analysis approaches (inductive analysis, enumerative approach, PCK mapping). The steps of this methodology have been detailed in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Steps

As seen in Figure 1, first of all, EBLTS was implemented for all participants. With the help of the quantitative data from here, the average scores and the participants in the sub-sample were determined. Afterward, participants with low and high epistemological beliefs responded to the CRT. Qualitative data from here were evaluated through inductive approach, in-depth explicit PCK analysis, enumerative approach, and PCK maps.

**Participants**

This study was conducted with 10 participants selected from 61 pre-school teachers working in institutions affiliated with the Ministry of National Education (MoNE). These participants were also studying in the pre-school teaching master's degree program of a foundation university in Turkey. These ten pre-school teachers, representing at least 10% of the total participants, were selected according to the deviant sampling, one of the purposeful sampling methods (Palinkas et al., 2015). The quantitative epistemological belief scale was first applied to 61 pre-school teachers in this process. The participants were divided into two sub-groups consisting of five persons each in a way to represent the low and high epistemological profiles, based on their scores obtained from this application (Figure 2). In order to determine more clearly how epistemological beliefs affect early science teaching pedagogy, two extreme epistemological profiles have been focused.
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**Figure 2.** Sub-sampling process

As seen in Figure 2, if a participant's EBLTS score was more than the total of the arithmetic mean and half of the standard deviation, S/he was included in the high epistemological profile. On the other hand, this was categorized as a low epistemological profile if a pre-school teacher's EBLTS score was less than the difference between the arithmetic means and half of the standard deviation. The low epistemological profile represents a more precise and rhetorical perspective on structuring knowledge in learning-teaching processes. On the other hand, the high epistemological profile represents a multi-dimensional perspective (Schommer-Aikins, 2004). Therefore, it has been assumed that pre-school teachers with low and high epistemological profiles have established and stable epistemological beliefs. The information about the participants can be seen in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, two of the participants, aged between 24 and 38, are male, and the others are female. The EBLTS scores of the participants in the sub-groups ranged from 56 to 101. The scores of the low group are between 56-59, while the scores of the high group are between 96-101. In addition, the schools where participants who have different teaching experience work are located on the European side of Istanbul, the metropolitan city of Turkey. While there are 48-60-month-old children in the class of four participants, there are 60-72-month-old children in the class of five participants and 36-48-month-old children in the class of one participant.

**Data Collection Tools**

Two data collection tools were used to answer the sub-problems in this study. These are the Epistemological Beliefs towards Learning and Teaching Scale (EBLTS) and Content Representation Task (CRT).

***Epistemological Beliefs towards Learning and Teaching Scale (EBSTL)***

To determine the epistemological beliefs of participants and include them in subgroups, EBSTL, which was developed by Sing-Chai, Teo, and Beng-Lee (2009) and adapted to the Turkish culture by Author et al. (2018), was used. In the literature, many scales have been developed to measure teachers' epistemological beliefs and prospective teachers. But most of these are scales that focus on *central* or *personal* epistemological beliefs (Chan & Elliott, 2002; Schommer, 1990; Schraw et al., 2002). On the other hand, the scale used in this study focuses on teachers' in-class teaching and practices. Therefore, it was thought that this scale would more consistently associate epistemological beliefs with early science teaching pedagogy. The scale consists of four sub-dimensions: access to knowledge, genetic nature versus *absolute and single reality*, and *epistemic contradiction*. Designed in five Likert-type, this scale has 23 items. The highest score to be obtained from the scale is 115, while the lowest is 23. The normative mean for the relevant scale is 69. According to descriptive statistics, the average of the whole group is X̄=70,0. On the other hand, the standard deviation value is 10,7. EBSTL scores of the participants assigned to the low and high epistemological profile are given in Table 1.

***Content Representation Task (CRT)***

Teachers' subject matter knowledge, pedagogical competencies, and conceptualizations are the most significant factors that are influential on their behaviors in the classroom and the learning level of their students (Park & Oliver, 2008). However, it is known that the teacher knowledge, which represents all of these factors, has a complex structure due to the incorporation of content and pedagogy (Beyer & Davis, 2012). For this reason, teachers' teaching cases for any subject matter should be integrated with tools that will represent different pedagogical structures (Nilsson, 2014). In addition, pre-school teachers are expected to filter the existing knowledge structures epistemologically and ontologically when structuring science teaching processes for any subject matter (Andersson & Gullberg, 2014). In this context, the content representation (CoRe) methodology was used to reveal the pedagogical conceptualizations of the early childhood science teaching of the teachers in different epistemological profiles involved in the research (Loughran et al., 2008). Accordingly, a CoRe endeavours to clarify teachers' holistic views on teaching a particular subject matter, based on *big ideas*, to make the implicit nature of teacher knowledge clear to others. So, a CoRe is designed to reveal teachers' knowledge to teach a particular concept (Nilsson & Loughran, 2012). In this study, the CoRe task, adapted to the context of pre-school education, was transformed into a lesson planning format and namedCRT (Appendix-1).

A pre-school teacher who responds to the CRT determines the subject matter, big ideas or themes on this subject matter, curriculum-based learning outcomes, and scientific process skills related to these learning outcomes, respectively. He/she then makes content-based conceptualizations within the framework of the following themes:

* The scope and nature of the subject, concept, and big ideas required to be learned by children about the relevant subject matter,
* The way how children will integrate the relevant subject matter with the determined learning outcomes and scientific process skills,
* The reason why it's important for children to learn these ideas,
* Children's possible learning difficulties related to this concept and targeted learning outcomes and scientific process skills,
* How these ideas fit in with the teacher's subject matter knowledge.

Expert opinions were asked to check the internal validity and external control of the questions in the CRT (McMillan & Schumacher, 2010). These experts are researchers who specialize in PCK and early childhood science teaching. Then, a pilot application was performed with two pre-school teachers who were not included in the participant group, and the answers obtained from this application were again transmitted to the experts. Following the feedback, the final version of the interview protocol was created. Before the implementation, the teachers were negotiated, and the process was planned together. No time and content limits were applied to the participants during the data collection process. The pre-school teachers determined all the learning outcomes, subject areas, and scientific process skills. To obtain the qualitative data in writing more accurately, the explanations of the teachers who answered the questions were recorded with the help of a tape recorder. It took around 60 minutes for each teacher to answer the CRT.

**Research Ethics**

Before collecting data from the pre-school teachers, they were informed about the purpose of the research, and it was stated that they could withdraw at any stage of the study. Due to scientific ethics, the names of the institutions and participants of the research are not disclosed. Instead, the participants were given pseudonyms. On the other hand, approval was obtained from the "Social and Human Sciences Ethics Committee" for the ethical evaluation of data collection tools.

**Data Analysis**

Data analysis was performed in a total of two steps and by means of five different data analysis methods. Firstly, the written conceptualizations of five teachers that were involved in the low and high epistemological profiles were arranged by matching them with their sound recordings by word for word. The first sub-problem in the study was answered through the inductive content analysis (Ezzy, 2013). This analysis method involves *open coding, creating categories,* and *summarizing*. Accordingly, the answers of pre-school teachers to the CRT were firstly organized and divided into subcategories, and hence *open coding* was performed. Each subcategory, created based on the existing text, was read repeatedly, making sure that coding allowed for a rational theory (Elo & Kyngas, 2008).

Then the concepts obtained by open coding were grouped under the category lists. Creating categories aims to *provide a way to describe phenomena, improve understanding, and create new objective knowledge* (Bazeley, 2013). During formulating categories, the *continuous comparison method* was used to distinguish the conceptual similarities, develop the distinctive power of themes, and explore patterns (Fram, 2013). In this way, a methodological triangulation was provided, and *summarizing* was performed through context-specific concepts to create a general formulation (Morse, 1991). For example, three codes were obtained for the first question in the CRT (scientific literacy, concept teaching, life skills acquisition*;* Table 2). At this point, it was coded as *scientific literacy* if the teacher referred to concepts such as scientific process skills, questioning, or reasoning while mentioning the purpose or orientation of teaching a particular subject matter. Moreover, the conceptual structures such as awareness or awareness-raising were grouped under the category of *life skills acquisition*.

The second sub-problem was answered using the PCK mapping method, a pictorial methodological representation. To achieve this, *in-depth analysis of explicit PCK, enumerative approach,* and *analysis of PCK mapping* were performed on the pedagogical conceptualizations of pre-school teachers in two extreme epistemological profiles. In this process, all responses given by participants to the CRT were divided into sub-teaching sections. Each section here represents a unit of analysis (Fraenkel et al., 2012). The components integrated into the teacher's PCK for science teaching in a particular teaching section were identified by the *in-depth analysis of explicit PCK* (Park & Oliver, 2008). The PCK interaction categories rubric developed by Aydın et al. (2015) was also considered. Thus, it was determined which PCK components were integrated into one another by teachers during the description of any teaching phenomenon.

For example, the fact that teachers mention their favored pedagogical strategies during teaching to clarify early childhood science teaching targets shows an interaction between the OTS and KISR components. Or, the fact that teachers talk about various assessment strategies they use to identify students' difficulties, misunderstandings, or prerequisite knowledge shows the KSU-KAS interaction. There are also other indications related to interactions between other components. The KISR–KSU interaction shows the use of a specific teaching strategy to overcome difficulties, misconceptions, or prior knowledge gaps. The KISR–KSC interaction represents using a specific teaching strategy to address a specific curriculum goal. When an interaction was detected in the data, it was noted that there was a (1) relation between the components corresponding to the interaction on the map. The more relations there are between a teacher's PCK components, the stronger the interaction is between the respective components. After in-depth analysis, the numbering approach and PCK mapping were used to quantify pre-school teachers' interactions between PCK components (Park & Chen, 2012). Based on the assumption that at least a relation must be available between two PCK components defined within the selected teaching sections, the number of relations was counted, and directions of these relations were defined. Upon completion of the numbering process, the interactions defined in the model were reflected on PCK maps using the *PAB pentagon* model as an analytical tool. Here, the pentagon model emphasizes the interaction between any two components (Friedrichsen et al., 2011). The mutual interactions and interdependence between the components indicate qualified teaching (Park, 2019). Therefore, in the Pentagon model, all PCK components have been expected to interact with each other in a balanced way. Therefore, it has important that the pentagonal structure in the model is completed.

During the analysis processes, the written responses of one pre-school teacher each were sent to an expert researcher and subjected to a separate evaluation process (HEP-1; LEP-1). Here, the expert actively participated in content analysis, creation of teaching episodes, in-depth explicit PCK analysis, and PCK mapping processes. First, the relevant researcher was met, and the framework of each analysis step was negotiated and then evaluated separately. Next, the expert conducted data analysis independently based on the established analysis framework. Then, the analysis results were compared, and the intercoder reliability percentage was obtained (McMillan & Schumacher, 2010).

$$Intercoder Reliability Percentage=\frac{Consensus}{Consensus+Disagreement} ×100$$

Accordingly, the number of units of analysis with which consensus was reached was proportioned to the total unit of analysis reached and turned into a percentage value. As a result, the intercoder reliability percentage of the analyses at each step was found to be %87 (content analysis), %94 (teaching episodes), and %93 (PCK analysis and mapping), respectively. These values indicate that the data analysis is reliable (Miles & Huberman, 1994). The remaining forms were analyzed individually based on the defined criteria, and the results obtained by the analyses were evaluated together with the same expert to ensure external control.

**FINDINGS**

In this part of the research, the pedagogical conceptualizations made by pre-school teachers in the low and high epistemological profile with the help of CRT were analyzed through different qualitative analysis approaches, and the results of the analysis were presented under two main headings. Firstly, the participants' pedagogical conceptualizations of early childhood science teaching were interpreted with the help of the themes and concepts given in Table 2. Then, the results of how epistemological belief differentiated PCK integration were given by means of PCK maps.

**Content-Based Conceptualizations of Early Childhood Science Teaching**

The level of learning outcomes of the curriculum, subject matter contents, and scientific process skills that all teachers plan to teach children through the science teaching activities they designed were first evaluated. All teachers designed a science teaching activity based on a different subject. On the other side, it was found that participants with a high epistemological profile had more scientific process skills they intended to teach. Each of the teachers in the high group indicated at least three scientific process skills (e.g., HEP-3; Observing, Predicting, Communicating, Inferring), while those in the low group indicated at most two scientific process skills (e.g., LEP-5; Observing). This situation is also similar in terms of the learning outcomes determined by teachers. While the teachers with high firm epistemological beliefs diversified their curriculum-based learning outcomes on the basis of different levels, the teachers with low epistemological beliefs either could not remember the learning outcomes or reflected a limited perspective. For example, HEP-4 planned his instruction based on the learning outcomes named ""She/he groups objects or entities by their properties”"", "She/he establishes a cause-effect relationship", and "She/he offers solutions to problem situations" (his subject area was force and motion). LEP-4, in contrast, planned his instruction based on the learning outcome named ”She/he compares the properties of objects or entities" (his subject area was natural events).

On the other hand, Table 2 presents the CRT-based pedagogical conceptualizations of pre-school teachers in the low and high epistemological profiles. Accordingly, the teachers in the high group were found to have responded, the question about the teacher's purpose or orientation to teach a particular subject matter, with a perspective based on the vision of *science literacy*, whereas the teachers in the low group focused only on *teaching concepts*. For example, HEP-3 suggests that children should acquire scientific process skills based on questioning and problem solving, while LEP-3 focuses on specific concepts based on plant growth. On the other side, both teacher groups considered *life skills acquisition* important. Here, HEP-1 emphasizes the skills that children can use in *everyday life* in the context of the theme of cleanliness, while LEP-4 offers a content-based perspective on the theme of natural events, focuses on *raising awareness*.

Considering the participants' answers for the second question, it was revealed that both groups referred to the themes of *concept teaching* and *citizen-character education*. For example, it was highlighted by HEP-4 that the teaching activity in the context of the buoyancy of liquids is significant in terms of 'internalizing everyday skills, basic scientific process skills and concepts in an indirect 'way'. On the other hand, LEP-1 discussed the *direct concept teaching* by referring to the importance of the subject context in the current science teaching activity regarding *awareness-raising*. The major difference here is that the teacher having firm epistemological belief refers to *indirect* concept teaching rationale, and the teacher with the low level of epistemological belief refers to the *direct* concept teaching rationale. Another difference that distinguishes the high-group participants from the low-group participants in light of the answers to the second question is that they detail the rationale for *science literacy*.

***HEP-2:*** It is expected that the student will process the knowledge obtained by observation and use of classification skills to make inferences about the form of nutrition. In this way, children's scientific skills and inquiries will be improved.

***HEP-3:*** Above all, it is important for children to perceive that everything is important for ecological balance, to conduct research on the experienced problems when necessary, to suggest solutions based on the scientific knowledge about the problem, and to discuss it themselves.

The answers of the two participants from the high epistemological profile indicated that they emphasized the *scientific process, questioning, and reasoning skills* that represent the basic learning outcomes to allow children to become science-literate individuals. This suggests that they have adopted the basic rationals for qualified early childhood science teaching. Considering the answers of the pre-school teachers in the low and high groups to the third question about the bases of the advanced and different knowledge not required to be learned by children yet, it was seen that the participants having high epistemological belief addressed the *basic content* structure in line with the children's *developmental level* rationale, while the participants with low epistemological belief suffered from *irresolution*. HEP-1 noted that he/she transformed the content to be shared during the science teaching activity, in the context of cleanliness based on the scientific process skills such as observation and prediction within the age group of children and talked about some harmful bacteria species as an example of advanced knowledge. However, she stated that she would not specifically mention Staphylococcus and Micrococcus bacteria. LEP-2, on the other hand, preferred to address the origin of the subject matter rather than talking about the advanced knowledge structures on the subject. According to him, children should be directed to chemically and naturally occurring dyes. He thought that children could learn more healthily this way.

The fourth question in the CRT represents the orientations of teachers towards the challenges, limitations, and obstacles associated with the teaching process. The conceptualizations of pre-school teachers who answered this question are independent of their epistemological beliefs. Therefore, both participant groups referred to *child-based, content-based and external limitations*. For example, HEP-5 stated that especially the application part of the subject matter teaching would have some difficulties in terms of *student understanding, application difficulty, and class size*. Similarly, it was seen in the explanation of LEP-5 that the concepts of *motivation-indifference, subject context, and lack of materials* became prominent.

As a result of the participant's responses to the fifth question, it was discovered that both groups referred to the same two themes: *cognitive characteristics* and *experiences*. The pre-school teachers in this research believe that 'children's interests and experiences and the creative nature they have influence their teaching 'activities'. Accordingly, it can be suggested that the epistemological beliefs of the participants did not change their orientation towards student understandings and misconceptions. The qualitative data analysis performed on the answers to the sixth question revealed that all participants from the low and high epistemological profiles tended to use *child-centered strategies*.

***HEP-1:*** I manage the process by guiding children in this subject's teaching and application stages. I learn the level of children's ideas on the subject matter by means of some questions I ask in advance. Then, I give the necessary information about the subject matter, pour colored glitter on the hands of the children as a representative microbe, and want them to make examinations, observe their hands and try to remove the glitter in a dry way. I want them to think about how we can get rid of these microbes (glitter) and express themselves. Then, they endeavor to get rid of the microbes by using just water, but when they observe that they cannot be purified, they see that the microbes (glitter) slide when they apply again, using the soaps they want this time.

***LEP-2:*** I use the method of asking questions. For example, I start the process by asking what colors are, and how colors are formed. Cooperative learning. In the process, children work in collaboration, creating natural colors. They all benefit from the questions asked and the answers given. Children learn by doing and experiencing themselves in active learning and realize the application processes themselves. Only in situations that may be dangerous does the teacher step in (such as mixing hot water).

Accordingly, HEP-1 enriches the child-centered instructional strategies with qualified qualifying questions during the science teaching activity and allows children to learn by discovery. On the other hand, LEP-2 enriched the collaborative teaching with the question-answer technique. Here, the main difference that distinguishes HEP-1 from LEP-2 is that the former involves *inquiry activities* that will help children acquire scientific process skills in the teaching practices. In the above-mentioned example, the high-group teachers inviting children to *negotiation processes* in each pedagogical move may verify this.

The findings on the seventh question on what and how pre-school teachers will assess in science teaching show that both groups of participants have conflicting ideas about assessment and evaluation. It is an indicator of this situation that the participants focus more on the *use of strategy* in their conceptualization of this question, and they neglect the *reporting of the results*. For example, HEP-3 and LEP-3 referred to the question-answer technique. But they did not mention anything about the results of the evaluation. Finally, the last question in CRT represents the participants' orientations towards academic or non-academic teaching approaches and subject matter knowledge resources. This question, which can expand the scope of the other seven questions, revealed that the teachers with high epistemological beliefs referred to *primary* sources, and the teachers with low epistemological beliefs referred to *secondary* sources. For example, HEP-2 noted his/her need for *peer interaction* and primary sources such as articles and dissertations to expand its teaching perspective. LEP-4, on the other hand, was found to tend to use technological tools but needed secondary resources such as books.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Figure 3.** The effect of epistemological belief on PCK integration

**Interactions between PCK components for Early Childhood Science Teaching**

To define the impact of epistemological beliefs on PCK integrations for the early childhood science teaching, the teaching sections of pre-school teachers in the low and high groups were firstly combined, and the number of teaching sections on a group basis and the binary relations between PCK components were defined (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Teaching episodes and dyads

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Teaching episode** | **Dyad connections among components** |
| **HEP** | 57 | 152 |
| **LEP** | 36 | 78 |

It is indicated by the results in Table 3 that the pre-school teachers with firm epistemological beliefs have more teaching sections within the scope of early childhood science teaching than those offered by the teachers with low epistemological beliefs. Furthermore, it was also revealed that the binary relations between the PCK components in the teaching sections of the pre-school teacher group representing the high epistemological profile were more. Accordingly, it can be suggested that the participants with firm epistemological beliefs extend their pedagogical conceptualizations to a broader scope.

Figure 3 presents the PCK maps created following the analyses performed to define how epistemological beliefs about learning to change the interaction between PCK components. Accordingly, it is observed that the strongest interactions between PCK components of pre-school teachers in the low epistemological profile are between *KSU-OTS* (26%) and *KSU-KISR* (21%). In addition, *KSU* (28%), *KISR* (27%), and *OTS* (24%) were the components that interacted the most with other components from the teaching sections of participants included in the low epistemological profile. On the other hand, no interaction was found between KSC-KAS, and that the binary relations between other components except *OTS-KISR* (12%) and *KISR-KAS* (16%) were quite weak. It was discovered that KSC (9%) was the component that interacted the least with other components among the teaching sections of the participants involved in the low epistemological profile.

***LEPKSU-OTS***: To protect natural energy resources by raising awareness on what energy is, its function, and what the natural energy sources are. To understand the importance of saving energy. To take part in the society as a conscious consumer role for himself/herself and his/her environment by raising awareness on energy saving.

***LEPKSU-KISR*:** Children learned by doing and experiencing themselves and realized the application processes themselves. Only in situations that might be dangerous did the teacher step in (such as mixing hot water). Because we use the experimental method, children do not experience concept confusion with respect to this knowledge. Our work becomes even easier because they don't know anything about science.

The two sample quotations, which represent the components that interact the most, revealed that participants in the low epistemological profile mostly based their pedagogical conceptualizations on their understanding of children. Accordingly, the example of KSU-OTS shows that the participant with low epistemological beliefs directly bases his/her explanation on the concept of teaching and awareness-raising rationales. It can be said that another participant from the low epistemological profile presenting the KSU-KISR example thinks their understanding of children is very limited and tends to neglect child cognition in relation to prior knowledge and experiences.

Considering the PCK map of the high epistemological profile, a more balanced interaction was found between the components. Accordingly, the strongest interactions between PCK components are seen between *KSU-OTS* (21%), *KSU-KISR* (21%), and *OTS-KISR* (20%). In addition, *KISR* (27%), *KSU* (26%), and *OTS* (26%) are the components that interacted the most with other components from the teaching sections of participants included in the high epistemological profile. On the other hand, it was found that the binary relation between *KSC-KAS* (4%) was quite weak. It was discovered that KSC (9%) was the component that interacted the least with other components among the teaching sections of the participants involved in the high epistemological profile.

***HEPKSU-OTS***: My target for science teaching is to help children make observations about the event/situation and be aware of the basic rules of nature by creating environments in which they can freely express their thoughts. In this way, I also provide a pre-learning environment related to the subject concept that I want to teach with the effect of discovery and communication skills.

***HEPKSU-KISR*:** Since the attention span of children is short because of their age, I try to make science teaching more understandable for children by using visual and auditory materials, in the teaching process that can draw more attention and support their active use of senses.

***HEPOTS-KISR*:** I actually think that the subject matter used serves as an instrument for the scientific process skills expected to be displayed as a goal. I find what the goal is more important not what the instrument is. For this, I think it is important for children to learn by discovery.

Compared to the sample quotes of the low epistemological profile on the relations between PCK components, it is revealed that the high epistemological profile, in particular, strengthens the KSU-OTS-KISR triple chain with strong interaction and rationalizes the concept of teaching as an instrument rather than a goal.

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined how the pre-school teachers' pedagogical conceptualizations of early childhood science teaching changed by their epistemological beliefs. In this research conducted through an exploratory case study, five participants, each with low and high epistemological beliefs, were selected and involved in the qualitative data collection process. The results that were obtained following the qualitative data analyses on the data collected in this way were discussed in the light of the relevant literature.

First of all, the pre-school teachers' epistemological beliefs in the research are above the normative average (**69.00**). However, the mean scores of the whole group (**X̄=70.0**) are lower than the sum of the normative mean and standard deviation (**X̄=79.7**). This result shows that the participant group has a more precise or rhetorical understanding of knowledge construction about epistemological beliefs. In addition, this result is consistent with the findings of early childhood teacher education studies (Bedel, 2012; Uğraş & Çil, 2016). The main expectation in the literature for early childhood science teaching is that pre-school teachers have a multifaceted orientation towards knowledge and knowing and adopt a constructivist epistemology (Akerson et al., 2011; Brownlee et al., 2011). However, research findings do not support this idea. Therefore, participating teachers may resist using child-centered and science literacy-related approaches to early science teaching (Brownlee & Berthelsen, 2006).

**The Effect of Epistemological Beliefs on Content-Based Pedagogical Conceptualizations**

The content-based conceptualizations of the pre-school teachers from the low and high epistemological profiles indicate that epistemological beliefs towards learning change the quality of science teaching in certain aspects. First, the participants with firm epistemological beliefs tend to perform science teaching based on *indirect concept teaching and science literacy vision*, while the participants with low epistemological beliefs tend to do it based on *direct knowledge transfer* and *concept teaching* (e.g., Akerson et al., 2011; NRC, 2013). This supports the suggestion that pre-school teachers who perform science teaching should integrate content and pedagogy properly with their teaching goals and orientations (Neuman & Danielson, 2021). Moreover, many researchers have put forward that the epistemological beliefs of pre-school teachers determine their pedagogical structures (e.g., Brownlee et al., 2011; Mansour, 2013). Accordingly, the pedagogical structures that arise from a creative process influenced by beliefs and knowledge interactions from different categories determine the quality of teachers' in-class teaching (Brown, 2009). The results of this research also show that the epistemological beliefs of pre-school teachers influence their pedagogical structures. Another result on the quality of the early childhood science teaching determined that pre-school teachers tended to adopt the *child-centered teaching strategies* independently of their epistemological beliefs during science teaching. However, the participants from the high epistemological profile highlighted the inquiry-based teaching approaches. This result essentially supports the focus of the current literature on the pedagogical strategy, which offers a modern perspective on early childhood science teaching (Areljung, 2019; Larimore, 2020). On the other hand, epistemological beliefs enable pre-school teachers to have an *inquiry-based* teaching understanding, which is the prominent conclusion. Accordingly, the inquiry activities serving as a powerful instrument for children when discovering the world with their curiosity are possible with pre-school teachers with high epistemological beliefs (Saçkes et al., 2013; Wright & Gotwals, 2017).

The teacher's primary role in early childhood science teaching is to help children' explore scientific concepts through hands-on play rather than providing experiment-based passive learning environments (Hamel et al., 2021). To achieve this, pre-school teachers must shift from a teacher-centered epistemology to a child-centered and social constructivist epistemology (Fleer, 2013, 2019). These results revealed that there should be an increased interest in epistemological orientations in order to improve pre-school teacher education in the context of science teaching.

**The Effect of Epistemological Beliefs on PCK Integrations**

To indicate how epistemological belief changes the quality of early childhood science teaching, PCK maps were created based on the CRT responses of pre-school teachers involved in the low and high epistemological profiles. In this way, the change of interactions between PCK components embedded in the pre-school teachers' conceptualizations of the early childhood science teaching was defined. The PCK map, which indicates that the teacher's teaching of any subject matter based on a pictorial methodological approach, is expected to have a consistent and holistic structure of the interaction between the components that form it (Park & Chen, 2012). According to the results of this research, PCK maps of the pre-school teachers with firm epistemological beliefs have a more holistic structure. Although some early science education and PCK researchers (Andersson & Gullberg, 2014; Gess-Newsome, 2015; Karabon, 2021) argue that orientations play an important role in developing PCK and changing teaching practice, no research pays specific attention to epistemological orientations in the context of early science teaching and PCK. However, the aforementioned finding supports the findings of Suh and Park's (2017) research in the context of 5th-grade students. Researchers have determined that epistemological orientations strengthen PCK interaction. Therefore, qualified early childhood science teaching is possible only when the pre-school teachers with firm epistemological beliefs can integrate the content and pedagogy through different components (Davis et al., 2016; Larimore, 2020).

It was determined that both groups of teachers interacted with the components of *OTS, KSU, and KISR* strongly with each other. This conclusion supports the findings of other research conducted based on PCK interaction (Demirdöğen, 2016; Park & Chen, 2012). Given that the most basic expectation of early childhood education literature (e.g., NSTA, 2014; Larimore, 2020) for science teaching is the integration of content and child-centered pedagogical strategies based on proper approaches, it is revealed that pre-school teachers meet this expectation independently of their epistemological beliefs. On the other hand, it was revealed that both groups of teachers could not sufficiently integrate *assessment and evaluation* and *curriculum components* into early childhood science teaching. This result has been supported the findings of the studies performed with the teachers having students at different grade levels and teachers from different branches (e.g., Author, 2021a; Davis et al., 2014; Park & Chen, 2012). For example, Gao et al. (2021), who examined how PCK components were integrated during a middle school teacher's teaching of natural selection, found that assessment knowledge had the most limited link with other components. On the other hand, Suh and Park (2017), who worked with experienced 5th grade teachers, found that knowledge of curriculum and assessment have interacted least with other components.

On the one hand, the participants' focus on rigorous teaching practices may appear to be a ong strength. However, these practices are not strongly associated with the curriculum poses a problem in terms of early science teaching standards (MoNE, 2013; NSTA, 2014; Wilinski, 2017). Considering that early childhood science teaching should be handled holistically (Larimore, 2020), it has emerged that pre-school teacher education should focus on this aspect. Furthermore, Brenneman (2011) argues that assessments in the context of early childhood science teaching will be easier through curriculum integration. Accordingly, a pre-school teacher who wants to evaluate individual children's learning and skills needs to know what the curriculum expects from her/him. Unfortunately, the research results revealed that pre-school teachers are inadequate in terms of the two PCK components mentioned.

**CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS**

This study investigated the impact of epistemological beliefs on the pre-school teachers' pedagogical conceptualizations of science teaching. The results obtained by the analyses using different methodological approaches showed that the participating pre-school teachers had a rhetorical understanding of knowledge construction. Preschool teachers with firmer epistemological beliefs referred more to the science literacy vision in science teaching. These teachers tend to integrate their teaching strategies more with inquiry-based teaching approaches. On the other hand, epistemological beliefs enabled pre-school teachers to holistically consider science teaching. However, regardless of epistemological beliefs, pre-school teachers could not associate assessment and curriculum components with each other.

In conclusion, these results showed that the interaction between epistemological beliefs and early childhood science teaching conceptualizations had a complex nature, consistent with the literature. It can be thought that this complex structure is especially related to the nature of data collection tools. First of all, unlike other studies (Uğraş & Çil, 2016; Wu et al., 2021) this study has been focused on epistemological beliefs about learning and teaching. In addition, the CoRe methodology has been used, which delicately integrates content and pedagogy for early childhood science teaching. Finally, the results have been presented based on different methodological approaches. All these have led to healthier and more in-depth interpretations specific to early childhood education. In this way, possible limitations have been overcome.

In the light of the discussion of these results, some specific recommendations can be made by considering different contexts. First, exploring pre-school teachers' epistemological beliefs through larger quantitative studies may provide useful information to the literature. The multifaceted effects of in-service training in which epistemology and early science teaching are contextualized can also be investigated. In addition, in-service training can be carried out to allow pre-school teachers with low epistemological profiles to be included in the school system. Secondly, it was determined that pre-school teachers had problems in contextualizing curriculum and assessment knowledge with early science teaching. Therefore, in-service training focusing on this scope will be effective. Finally, specific research can be conducted to explore how epistemological beliefs affect the contextualization of curriculum and assessment information with early science teaching.